

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/730,843	Applicant(s) HEGEL, RAMON F.	
	Examiner Holly Rickman	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) Eric Levinson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-5

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Levinson agreed to an examiner's amendment canceling claims 1-5, directed to a non-elected invention, in order to put the case in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**HOLLY RICKMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)